Description:

The long-range goal of the Department of Agriculture is to guarantee that Idaho agricultural products are of high quality, are disease-free, and meet federal and state laws, rules, and regulations. It is also the goal of this department to protect both the consumer and the producer from fraud, provide assistance to industry in marketing Idaho agricultural products, and improve farm and agriculture business income. The Administration program coordinates the office of the director, financial, payroll, legal, human resources, and information technology functions of the agency.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. Executive Administration.
 - A. To increase, by 25%, the efficiency within executive administration.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
20%	5%	5%	5%		
	Projected	Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
5%	na	na	na		

2. Finance.

A. To increase, by 3, the number of fiscal staff who are proficient in computer technology, especially MS-Office applications by 7-1-97.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
3	3	4	5			
	Projecto	ed Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
5	na	na	na			

3. Human Resources

A. To reduce worker's compensation losses from a high of \$306,264 (calendar year 1995) to \$128,935 (calendar year 1996) to \$74,712 (calendar year 1997) and to \$70,000 (calendar year 1998) or below in subsequent years.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
\$66,865	\$100,896	\$104,626	\$100,000		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
\$90,000	\$80,000	\$70,000	\$70,000		

4. Information Technology.

A. To increase to 100% the number of ISDA computer programs, computers and computer systems that are year 2000 compliant by 12-31-1999.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
0	90	8	na		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
na	na	na			

Agriculture, Department of Administration

Program Results and Effect:

Although not based on any specific statutory authority, administrative support is critical to the overall leadership, coordination, and efficiency of operations of the Department. Administration's objective is to promote and provide quality service to our customers, ensure the judicious use of public funds consistent with the Department's statutory responsibilities, provide efficient and effective information and communication services to internal and external customers, recruit, retain, develop, recognize and promote the fair and equitable treatment of our human resources, and serve as the overall liaison with industry and other public entities.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

Description:

The Division of Animal Industries works to ensure healthy and diversified animal industries, safeguard the resources and environment of the state, and ensure a safe and wholesome supply of food and fiber.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. Conduct disease surveillance, suppression, control and eradication programs.
 - A. Conduct surveillance for all program diseases.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
1,989,426	1,970,696	1,992,475	2,140,289			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
2,100,000	2,100,000	2,100,000	2,200,000			

B. Investigate suspected disease situations and test animals and herds identified by surveillance activities as potentially diseased.

	Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000				
975	779	963	1,679				
	Projecte	ed Results					
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004						
965	1,400	1,400	1,500				

C. Conduct licensing, inspection, and certification for compliance with state or federal law.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
267	285	194	311		
	Projected	l Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
300	400	300	300		

- 2. Conduct sanitary inspection of dairy production facilities and grading of dairy and egg products.
 - A. Inspect all dairy farms and plants for compliance with sanitation, quality, and wholesomeness standards. Inspection and grading of egg producing and retail facilities.

Actual Results						
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
4,670	4,996	5,055	5,420			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
4,500	4,000	4,000	4,000			

B. Issue permits to all qualified dairies and permits or licenses to dairy plants and bulk haulers and egg distributors and handlers.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
170	299	137	199		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
220	200	200	200		

C. Conduct grading of dairy and egg products to assure compliance with standards.

	Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000				
2,359,704	1,124,926	1,991,558	21,351,487				
	Projected	Results					
2001	2002	2003	2004				
5,000,000	5,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000				

- 3. Conduct the dairy waste management program.
 - A. Inspect and approve all dairy waste management systems for compliance with state and federal laws.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
2,925	2,852	2,993	2,462			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500			

- 4. Conduct disease detection, control, import and export testing programs; and finished dairy product testing program.
 - A. Perform disease tests on animals and animal tissues for regulatory diseases, to meet import/export requirements and for zoonotic diseases.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
315,838	285,363	321,766	322,482			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
330,000	330,000	340,000	340,000			

B. Perform tests on finished dairy products for quality, presence of adulterants and to determine producer payment.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
66,781	18,589	3,127	4,285		
	Projected	l Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000		

- 5. Foster scientifically, economically and environmentally sound range management practices.
 - A. Provide leadership in development and implementation of community collaborative efforts to improve management of rangelands.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
8	11	5	4		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
5	5	5	5		

B. Coordinate and collaborate with industry and agencies to resolve conflicts relative to public land grazing.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
12	32	50	62			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
60	60	60	50			

- 6. Foster sound animal care and handling practices and administer the animal care program.
 - A. Investigate cases of alleged animal abuse.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
110	69	108	80		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
120	125	125	140		

B. Cooperate with local and county officials in handling of animal abuse cases.

	Actual Results					
199	7	1998	1999	2000		
80)	80	85	80		
		Projected	l Results			
20	01	2002	2003	2004		
8	5	85	85	80		

C. Educate animal owners and citizens of the state on acceptable animal care, handling and use practices.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
60	65	65	70
	Projecte	d Results	'
2001	2002	2003	2004
75	70	75	75

- 7. Implement the Beef Cattle Environmental Control Act.
 - A. Inspect and approve beef cattle waste management systems for compliance with state and federal laws.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
	Projecte	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
250	500	500	500	

Agriculture, Department of Animal Industries

Program Results and Effect:

The Division of Animal Industries conducts disease surveillance, control and eradication efforts for a number of diseases of importance to the livestock industries, some of which are also diseases of man. The Division also conducts dairy farm, milk processor and shell egg inspection programs to assure adherence to standards for purity, quality, and wholesomeness of dairy and egg products. In addition, the Division is responsible for the dairy waste management program, which assures that dairy farms do not discharge pollutants into ground or surface water. The Division continues to and is implementing nutrient management plans and monitoring programs to provide agronomic land applications of nutrients. In addition, the Division is testing wells on dairy farms for nitrate and bacteria levels to identify possible sources of contaminants. Additionally, the Division oversees the agriculture mediation program which is designed to resolve disputes between agricultural producers and USDA agencies. Under the range program, the Division works with the livestock industry and other agencies in development and implementation of sound range management practices to safeguard and improve natural resources of the State. The Division oversees the noxious weed management program and the application of the state noxious weed law and the weed-free forage and straw certification program. The Division also collaborates with local and county officials in investigation of cases of alleged animal abuse, and where warranted, assists in development of cases and prosecution of violators. As result of these programs the State is classified free of Brucellosis, Tuberculosis and Pseudorabies; the level of infection with trichomoniasis and Equine Infectious Anemia and a number of other diseases has been reduced: the quality and safety of dairy and egg products is assured for Idaho citizens; food borne pathogens of animal origin are being identified and preventive measures initiated to safeguard Idaho citizens; collaborative efforts have been initiated in a number of areas of the State to improve rangelands and mitigate conflicts relative to the multiple use of public lands; and the care and handling of the animals of the state has been improved. The effect of these programs is a healthier animal population, abundant, safe, and wholesome supply of foods of animal origin, improved marketability of Idaho livestock, and improved condition of natural resources of the State.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

Description:

This Division registers pesticides. It also licenses pesticide applicators and chemigators, and certifies they are qualified through testing and attendance at certified seminars, etc. The division also ensures compliance with both federal and state laws and rules governing pesticide use. Other programs include ground and surface water, worker protection, chemigators, endangered species, unusable pesticide disposal, chipping and recycling used plastic pesticide containers, and record keeping.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- Registration of pesticides.
 - A. Review and registration of applications for pesticide registration.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
7,541	8,400	8,500	8,617			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000			

B. Make all Emergency Exemption and SLN labels available on the Internet.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
		100%	100%		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

- 2. Pesticide applicator and dealer licenses.
 - A. Pesticide applicator licenses.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
4,200	4,700	8,689	8,278			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800			

B. Develop and implement updated training materials and tests.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
·	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
100%	100%	100%	100%			

C. Develop and implement a pesticide applicator training program.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
100%	100%	100%	100%			

D. Seminars approved.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
575	589	630	697
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
700	700	700	700

3. Pesticide inspections and investigations.

A. Pesticide inspections and investigations.

	Actua	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
278	310	400	231
	Projecte	ed Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
300	300	300	300

B. Chemigation inspections.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
479	268	260	271
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
250	250	250	250

4. Ground and surface waters.

A. Ground water sampling.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
4,064	6,775	2,796	3,503
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000

B. Ground water analyses.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
22,352	40,000	52,192	16,905
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000

C. Educational sessions.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
30	21	25	21
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
30	30	30	30

D. Ground and surface water pesticide investigations.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
10	7	3	4
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
15	15	15	15

E. Surface water/BMP assessments.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
5	20	5	9
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
20	20	20	20

F. Surface water sampling.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
406	417	824	906
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
660	660	660	660

G. Surface water analyses.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
4,872	2,877	8,240	1,646
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000

H. Will conduct statewide groundwater sampling follow-ups. (sampling/analysis)

	Actua	I Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
295/4,720	0/0	75/1,200	400/3,080
	Projecte	ed Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
200/3,000	200/3,000	200/3,000	200/3,000

I. TMDL Implementation plan finalized with SCC and SCD's.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
	10%	15%	10%
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
10%	10%	10%	10%

5. Worker protection.

A. Worker protection training sessions.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
18	19	23	23	
	Projecte	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
25	25	25	25	

B. Worker protection inspections.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
74	65	118	105		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
80	80	80	80		

6. Endangered Species.

A. Section 18/24c's reviewed for impacts to endangered species.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
26	32	30	39
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
30	35	35	35

7. Unusable pesticides disposal.

A. Waste pesticides disposed. (pounds)

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
37,034	37,457	38,525	32,342		
	Projecte	ed Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000		

B. Containers recycled (pounds).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
39,000	69,000	67,438	83,414
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100,000	110,000	115,000	120,000

8. Record keeping program.

A. Private applicator records reviewed for compliance.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
188	202	201	202		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
205	210	215	215		

B. Follow-up.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
15	14	15	14			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
15	15	17	20			

9. Outreach and education.

A. Presentations, press releases, PSA's, brochures, etc.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Technical and analytical resources/response to public, industry, etc.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

C. Develop, implement, and maintain an Internet information base.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
50%	50%	50%	50%	
	Projected	l Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
50%	100%	100%	100%	

Program Results and Effect:

The goal of the Division of Agricultural Resources is to protect public health, the environment, animals, and wildlife species of the State from possible adverse effects which could result from the improper use of pesticides or fertilizers. The Division is also charged with monitoring agriculture's impact on humans and the environment, including programs for the protection of ground and surface water, agricultural workers, endangered species, chemigation, secondary containment, and pesticide residue testing. These goals are accomplished through a major education program and regulation of the sale and use of pesticides and fertilizers. The Division participates in numerous public and governmental programs aimed at reducing adverse effects that may result from agricultural or urban practices involving the use of pesticides or fertilizers.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

Description:

The Division of Plant Industries works to protect the interests of the plant industry and the general public.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. Assure the quality of commercial feeds offered for sale in Idaho.
 - A. Review/registration of feed labels and products.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
6,403	6,692	6,580	7,077		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000		

B. Collect commercial feed samples.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
1,052	1,105	1,119	1,074		
	Projected	l Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
1,160	1,160	1,160	1,160		

C. Perform analytical tests on commercial feeds.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
3,163	3,778	3,818	3,712		
	Projected	l Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000		

- 2. Assure the quality of nursery stock sold in Idaho.
 - A. Issue Nurseryman/Florist licenses.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
1,122	1,155	1,295	1,492			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400			

B. Nursery inspections.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
712	732	1,023	1,042		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050		

- 3. Honey bee program.
 - A. Process apiary registrations.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
67	70	76	74	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
75	75	75	75	

B. Inspect registered apiaries.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
3	10	1	3
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
5	5	5	5

- 4. Assure disease free condition of plants and plant products for export through phytosanitary certification.
 - A. Field inspections acres.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
105,417	114,317	85,272	91,130		
	Projecto	ed Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
95,000	95,000	95,000	95,000		

B. Issue phytosanitary certificates.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
8,494	8,822	8,870	8,971		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000		

C. Collect plant pathology laboratory samples.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
1,319	1,444	900	1,256		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500		

D. Perform analytical tests on plant products.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
2,476	2,710	1,960	2,578			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800			

- 5. Plant pest and disease detection surveys and eradication programs.
 - A. Set up insect traps in selected and random locations.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
2,278	2,619	2,342	2,345
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750

- 6. Assure the quality of seeds sold in Idaho.
 - A. Perform seed tests for compliance to Idaho Pure Seed Law, Idaho Noxious Weed Law, Idaho Feed Law, and Referee testing.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
256	271	315	221
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
300	300	300	300

B. Perform purity, germination, and special testing of certified seeds for Idaho Crop Improvement Association and uncertified seeds for the seed industry.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
10,076	9,263	9,869	9,954			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000			

C. Issue seed dealers licenses.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
571	568	582	600			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
580	580	580	580			

- 7. Assure the quality of commercial fertilizers offered for sale in Idaho.
 - A. Review/registration of fertilizer labels and products.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
1,484	2,126	1,551	253
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500

B. Collect commercial fertilizer samples.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
509	556	416	414		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
550	550	550	550		

C. Perform analytical tests on commercial fertilizers.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
1,624	1,707	1,382	1,519
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600

- 8. Assure the quality of soil and plant amendments offered for sale in Idaho.
 - A. Review/registration of soil and plant amendment labels and products.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
53	151	153	109	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
150	150	150	150	

B. Collect soil and plant amendment samples.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
			24		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
50	50	50	50		

C. Perform analytical tests on soil and plant amendments.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
			60		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
100	100	100	100		

Agriculture, Department of Plant Industries

Program Results and Effect:

Plant Pathology: Bean seeds grown west of the Continental Divide to be planted in Idaho must successfully pass laboratory tests conducted by the Plant Pathology Lab from samples drawn in the state of Idaho by the Department of Agriculture Inspectors. The Plant Pathology Lab also provides plant and plant products testing following the phytosanitary certification requirements of the importing country. The lab also provides testing for exotic plant diseases. Additionally, Division personnel field or laboratory inspect 23 different crop and other commodities for export to other states and more than 140 foreign countries.

Feeds, Fertilizers and Soil & Plant Amendments: Commercial feed samples are drawn by the Department of Agriculture Inspectors and tested in the Feed Lab against ingredient guarantees stated in the label. Commercial feeds and fertilizers in violation of the Idaho Commercial Feed Law are subject to stop-sale orders and/or a monetary penalty.

Nurseries and Florists: Inspections are conducted annually for freedom from pests and diseases, in order to protect Idaho agriculture and the public from the introduction of exotic pests and spread of established pests. 5,666 inspections were conducted for compliance with eighteen quarantine or special pest surveys. 32 incidents were encountered 90 requiring corrective action and 28 necessitating a stop-sale order.

Pest Surveys: Special surveys were conducted for the detection of 14 pests and diseases, including grasshoppers and Mormon crickets. Surveys are conducted in an attempt to detect the establishment of exotic pests early so that they may be cheaply eradicated. In addition, the movement of established pests of economic or quarantine significance is also monitored for compliance with the quarantine of other states and countries or in order to predict outbreaks.

State Seed Laboratory: The Seed Lab is the only Association of Official Seed Analyst (AOSA) seed testing laboratory in Idaho. In addition, the Seed Lab enforces the Idaho Pure Seed Law. The Seed Lab offers germination, tetrazolium, purity, noxious weed, cold and other special tests for over 200 different crops. Field beans, alfalfa, garden beans, wheat, barley, Kentucky bluegrass, garden peas, sweet corn, rapeseed/canola, and onion represent approximately 80% of the service seed samples tested annually. The Seed Lab receives service seed samples from Idaho and nine western states. Approximately 80% of service seed samples received are from Idaho seed companies and 20% from 13 other states and Canada. Seeds for the domestic seed markets are tested following procedures approved by AOSA. Seeds for the Canadian markets are tested following procedures approved by the Canadian Food & Inspection Service. Seeds for the other foreign markets are tested following procedures approved by the international Seed Testing Association.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

Description:

The Warehouse Control program is the licensing authority for the bonded warehouses in the State of Idaho. Bonded warehouses receive commodities from producers to either store and/or market for them. By conducting warehouse examinations at the warehouses, protection is provided for the producers depositing commodity. Examinations assure the producers the warehouse has maintained its obligations.

In addition commodity dealers are also licensed. The Commodity Dealer law specifies that grain, dry peas, dry beans, leguminous or other small seeds are covered commodities and anyone purchasing these commodities for resale shall be licensed. The difference between a bonded warehouse and commodity dealer is that the warehouse stores grain commodities, generally a commodity dealer does not have storage capabilities.

The Dealer in Farm Produce law specifies that all agricultural, horticultural, viticultural, and vegetable products of the soil, poultry and poultry products, and apiary products are covered commodities and anyone purchasing these commodities for resale shall be licensed. This law generally covers commodities not addressed in the commodity dealer law: example, potatoes, fruits, vegetables, and hay.

The retail potato inspection program provides consumers with confidence that the potatoes at the retail level are as advertised by the retailer and maintains the integrity of the commodity from the shipping point to the table. Labeling for grade, quality and state of origin. Each item is verified by an inspector at the retail outlet.

The hop inspection program provides certification of hops for Idaho hop producers. During harvest, samples are collected on a daily basis from Idaho's growers and delivered to Boise for analysis. Leaf, stem and seed count results are reflected on a certificate that accompanies the lot through commercial channels. The program is a federal/state cooperation with oversight provided by FGIS.

The Division has the authority to charter any person conducting or operating a public livestock market. The charter requirements provide for protection of consumers purchasing livestock at the sales yards as well as providing for an orderly transition of livestock from farm to the market place.

The Organic Certification program provides certification of food products grown without the use of synthetic herbicides, pesticides or fertilizers for a period of 36 months prior to harvest of the product. After receipt of an application, an inspection is conducted at the applicants site. Grower inputs are monitored and if standards are met, a certificate is issued.

The Bureau of Weights & Measures administers a program for inspections and testing of all weighing and measuring devices used in commercial transactions which provide confidence in the market place and provides equity for all citizens of the State, whether buyer, seller, or consumer. Maintain a Metrology laboratory, to provide traceable mass, volume and length measurements.

The Bureau of Shipping Point Inspection (FF&V) is an inspection and grading program that provides an effective and reliable service using common language from farm to market for the buying and selling of fresh fruits and vegetables. This also applies to the marketing of fresh products for processing. The Bureau also conducts 3rd party auditing services for Good Agriculture Practices (GAPs) and Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs) to the fruit and vegetable industry.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. License all companies engaged in the commodity warehouse business.
 - A. 100% target for licensing warehouses in Idaho.

	Actual F	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
"	Projected	Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Provide follow-up for licensees dropping license.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

C. Maintain contact reports until potential licensee is either licensed or documentation is received indicating no need to license.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
100%	100%	100%	100%	
	Projected	l Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
100%	100%	100%	100%	

- 2. Conduct performance based examinations of the licensees.
 - A. Develop performance based criteria to reduce business interruption of non-problem licensees.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
99%	99.5%	100%	100%
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Incorporate rating system to identify those licensees that may be experiencing financial difficulty.

	Actual F	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

C. Establish a matrix for timetables of examinations tied into item B.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
80%	85%	85%	90%
	Projected	d Results	<u> </u>
2001	2002	2003	2004
95%	98%	98%	99%

D. Concentrate examination process on the licensees that have demonstrated poor track records and weak financial statements in conjunction with item C.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
85%	85%	85%	90%		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
95%	98%	98%	99%		

- 3. Provide expertise to licensees, commodity commissions and producers.
 - A. Seek out opportunities to incorporate the examination process to assist other agencies.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
Plus 5	Plus 5	Plus 6	5		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
5	5	5	5		

B. Provide producer information in a timely manner in disputes with licensees, same day service.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs		

C. Assist warehouses with improvement of records and warehousing practices.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
100%	100%	100%	100%			

D. Continue to support the commodity commissions with assistance in quality programs.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
100%	100%	100%	100%			

- 4. License all companies or individuals engaged in the commodity dealer business.
 - A. 100% target for licensing dealers in Idaho.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
99%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
100%	100%	100%	100%			

B. Reduce penalty fees for late license renewals to zero.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
0 late	0 late	0 late	0 late		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
0 late	0 late	0 late	0 late		

C. Establish a consistent examination process.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
90%	95%	95%	100%		
	Projected	l Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

- 5. Inspect and test all commercial weighing and measuring devices.
 - A. Inspect and test all known commercially used weighing and measuring devices.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
100%	100%	100%	100%			

B. Provide follow-up re-checks on all rejected devices.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
100%	100%	100%	100%			

C. Develop a program for octane testing of gasoline pumps.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
30%	30%	30%	300%		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
30%	30%	30%	30%		

- 6. Provide interpretation of Weights & Measures' laws, rules, and policies.
 - A. Provide information to public concerning weights and measures issues.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Assist industry with technical interpretation of laws, rules and NCWM policies within 12 hours.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs

C. Conduct training and education of staff. Participate in NCWM and WCWM Conferences at least semi-annually.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual			

- 7. Maintain certification of metrology laboratory with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The laboratory certification is the legal basis for Idaho's weights and measures program.
 - A. Maintain Idaho Metrology Lab certification by planning for future federal requirements.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Continue training and participation in the Western Region Assurance Program.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs		

C. Seek to make improvements to meet NAVLAB requirements for certification. This certification will minimize costs for future requirements on maintaining a laboratory.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
annual	annual	annual	annual

- 8. License weighmasters.
 - A. License all those required to be licensed by statute.

	Actua	al Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Project	ed Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Issue license within 24 hours of receipt of application.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs

C. Provide licensing materials to first time applicants within 24 hours of contact.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs

- 9. Provide inspection service for hops in Idaho.
 - A. Target: 24 hour turn over of results to producers.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Reduce analyst time with digital scales.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
5 minutes	4 minutes	3 minutes	3 minutes		
	Projected	Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
3 minutes	2-1/2 minutes	2-1/2 minutes	2-1/2 minutes		

C. Explore computer program to enhance efficiency.

	Actual	Results			
1997	1998	1999	2000		
2.8 hrs/lot	2.7 hrs/lot	2.6 hrs/lot	2.5 hrs/lot		
	Projected	Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
2.3 hrs/lot	2.1hrs/lot	2.0 hrs/lot	2.0 hrs/lot		

- 10. Provide original licensing and annual renewal for dealers.
 - A. 100% target for licensing dealers in Idaho.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Improve contact response from potential licensees.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
95%	95%	98%	98%			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
98%	99%	100%	100%			

C. Maintain documentation process for licensees going out of business.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

D. Explore and develop an indemnity fund for dealers in farm produce if acceptable to industry and producers.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
Explore	Develop	Presentation	Results	
	Projected	Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
Implementation (if feasible)	Implementation (if feasible)	Implementation (if feasible)	implementation (if feasibile)	

- 11. Inspect potatoes at the retail outlets in Idaho.
 - A. Establish data base for retail outlets.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
85%	85%	90%	95%	
	Projected	l Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
100%	100%	100%	100%	

B. Conduct performance based inspections.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
85%	85%	90%	95%	
	Projecte	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
95%	100%	100%	100%	

C. Establish follow-up procedures for repeat violations.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%

D. Complete training for all examiners/inspectors.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
100%	100%	100%	100%		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

- 12. Charter all livestock markets in Idaho.
 - A. Maintain a 100% level of charters in Idaho.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projected	Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
100%	100%	100%	100%			

B. Provide rapid response to complaints from producers utilizing the markets.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
100%	100%	100%	100%		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

C. Complete renewals of charters in an efficient and timely manner.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
99%	100%	100%	100%		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

D. Provide training to Warehouse Control personnel to assume increased responsibility under this act.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
80%	85%	90%	95%	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
100%	100%	100%	100%	

- 13. Provide organic certification for Idaho growers.
 - A. Provide on-site inspections to applicants.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
100%	100%	100%	100%	
	Projecte	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
100%	100%	100%	100%	

B. Reduce time on issuing Organic Certificates to no more than 24 hours turnaround upon receipt of inspection report.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	

C. Residue test 12% of the total population of organic growers product each year.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
10%	10%	12%	12%
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
12%	12%	12%	12%

- 14. Provide inspection services to applicants requesting such service.
 - A. Maintain a 100% level of providing inspection service to all who request such service.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projected	l Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
100%	100%	100%	100%			

B. Maintain a financially sound organization for the benefit of applicants.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
105%	110%	110%	115%		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
120%	125%	125%	125%		

C. Develop alternative types of inspections acceptable to USDA and the applicants.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
5	2	2	2	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
3	3	3	3	

D. Develop electronic means of assisting in the grading process.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
1	1	2	2
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
1	1	1	2

E. Ensure accuracy of 100% in writing of inspection certificates.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
100%	100%	100%	100%		
	Projected	l Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Inspections

- 15. Provide a well trained, competent staff.
 - A. Provide a minimum of 24 hours training per season to all inspection staff.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
80%	100%	100%	100%	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
80%	100%	100%	100%	

B. License and train additional staff for the purpose of assisting in the writing of phyto sanitary certificates.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
11	12	8	8		
	Projected	l Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
8	10	12	15		

C. Develop a career growth program targeting 5% of our full time FF&V staff.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
10	10	10	10
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
25	25	30	40

Program Results and Effect:

Bonded warehouse provides producers of Idaho protection from financial disaster in the event of a warehouse failure through the Commodity Indemnity Account Program (CIAP), an indemnity fund established to provide rapid payment to the producers in the event of a warehouse failure. The examination process has evolved into an efficient program by utilizing lap top computers and has saved time and tax dollars. We have downsized our personnel through attrition and will continue to explore avenues to provide superior service to our producers and licensees. The negative effect of the program is the potential to shut down a warehouse due to financial instability, even though it may be necessary, the perception is negative.

The commodity dealer program provides protection for Idaho producers who sell commodity to truckers, individuals and companies. The licensees are required to submit financial statements and provide bonding in order to do business in Idaho. The program falls under the umbrella of the Commodity Indemnity Account Program (CIAP) and provides rapid payment to producers caught in a commodity dealer insolvency. The program shadows the bonded warehouses and is also computerized in the examination and licensing process.

The testing of commercial devices offers a level playing field to both buyers and sellers and a statewide standard of acceptance for weighing and measuring devices. The correct interpretation of weights & measures' laws is particularly beneficial to start up businesses, but is equally valuable to the scale industry and the general public. The Weights & Measures laboratory is a link to the standards traceable to the recognized international standard in Paris. Licensing of weighmasters presents a common method of issuing scale tickets. The weighmaster's signature provides a complete transaction.

The hop program provides inspection service at the local level. The rapid turn around time for certificates gives the producers timely information for their commodity. Washington and Oregon also provide service for inspection for hops but the turn around time is substantially longer and the cost is more expensive.

The Dealer in Farm Produce program provides protection for Idaho producers selling commodity to truckers, individuals, and companies. The licensees are required to submit bonds and in some cases provide financial statements. The exposure to our producers in this area is extreme. The bureau provides the producers with an avenue to solve problems with the licensee and also a contact point tips on anyone operating without a license. The retail potato inspection program provides a monitoring system to assure consumers that the potatoes they buy are as advertised at the retail outlets. The potatoes are graded by the inspector at the outlet and the labeling practice is verified. Retail managers are provided additional expertise in displaying potatoes to maintain quality and are assisted in correcting problems when feasible.

The Public Livestock Market Development Act provides a smooth transition of livestock to the market and establishes a level playing field for all of the market outlets. The results of this act stimulates and stabilizes the livestock economy by encouraging the construction development and productive operation of public livestock markets as key benefits of fully open, free, competitive factors in sales and purchases of livestock.

The Organic Program provides consumer protection through the establishment of standards that define what Organic means. For the producer these standards formulate the development of out-of-state markets for food grown by organic methods.

The Bureau of Shipping Point Inspection (FF&V) is providing inspection and grading services to over 200 applicants who market over 13 billion pounds of fruits and vegetables produced annually in the State of Idaho. This program provides nationwide inspection and grading services to shippers, processors, sellers, buyers, government procurement agencies and other financially interested parties on a "user fee basis." The service is made available upon request or when specified by a special program on contract. Idaho operates under a potato and onion marketing order which does require mandatory inspection. This program contributes greatly to the success of Idaho being recognized world wide as the producer of exceptional potatoes.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

Description:

The marketing section's mission is to expand domestic and international markets for Idaho food and agriculture products. Marketing also manages the Idaho-Mexico trade office and the market news office in Idaho Falls.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. Promote Idaho agriculture (commodities, farmers' markets, value-added food processors).
 - A. Lead domestic and international missions.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
2	2	2	5			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
4	4	4	4			

B. Participate in targeted domestic and international trade shows

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
6	7	3	6	
	Projecte	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
6	6	6	6	

C. Develop promotional events and materials

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
8	11	8	8
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
10	10	10	10

- 2. Educate and train Idaho companies on marketing and agricultural diversification.
 - A. Disseminate market information and reports. *The method of counting changed this year.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
772	50,025*	51,234	117,695		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
110,000	100,000	100,000	100,000		

B. Organize and speak at educational conferences.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
14	10	15	20		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
16	16	16	16		

C. Publish informational manuals and newsletters.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
4	4	3	3	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
5	5	5	5	

D. Respond to customer requests for information.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
484	512	510	537		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
600	600	600	600		

E. Provide technical assistance to individuals and organizations.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
220	175	246	270	
	Projecte	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
250	250	250	250	

- 3. Respond to trade leads and develop buyer contacts.
 - A. Host buyer delegations and high level officials.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
5	9	14	14
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
15	15	15	15

B. Distribute trade leads to Idaho companies.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
300	287	350	330			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
450	450	450	450			

C. Compile buyer databases and directories.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
0	1	3	3	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
5	5	5	5	

- 4. Leverage resources to promote Idaho commodities and value-added products.
 - A. Apply for MAP funds (USDA Market Assistance Program).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
\$121,000	\$135,000	\$145,000	\$159,900
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	\$140,000

B. Apply for FSMIP funds (USDA Federal-State Market Improvement Program)

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
\$48,000	0	0	\$25,000		
	Projecte	ed Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000		

- 5. Manage the Rural Rehabilitation Loan Program.
 - A. Provide loans to Idaho agriculture.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
\$40,000	\$60,000	0	\$70,000
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
\$60,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000

- 6. Determine and address trade barriers for Idaho products.
 - A. Identify trade barriers.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
0	0	25	74
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
85	90	90	90

B. Issue certificates of free sale to meet import requirements.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
45	50	55	120		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
125	125	125	125		

Program Results and Effect:

Agriculture Marketing and Development will expand domestic and international markets for Idaho food and agriculture by responding to the needs of our customers. We assist Idaho producers by providing educational and technical assistance in numerous areas including packaging, labeling, transportation, handling, processing, promotion, foreign and domestic market development, and market information. Our goal is to provide services that ultimately increase the profits for our customers.

We recognize that exports are essential for the continued growth and success of Idaho agriculture, therefore we are working to identify and address trade barriers for Idaho agricultural products. We issue certificates of free sale to assist companies in meeting import requirements in foreign markets. We have had significant impact on export growth to Mexico and South America through the Mexico trade office that we manage. This export growth has been in both agricultural and non-agricultural products and is funded and serviced by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce.

Marketing and Development is customer driven and will continuously adjust to meet anticipated changes in the business cycle. We recognize that technology offers additional opportunities for service to our customers. We continue to develop and maintain buyer and seller databases to assist companies to establish new business relationships. Our goal is to utilize and make this additional information readily available to our customers and those interested in doing business with Idaho agricultural producers.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

Description:

COMMISSION OPERATIONS: Provides technical, administrative, financial, and personnel support, provides oversight and leadership to Commission members and staff enabling the organization to meet federal mandates, voluntary state program goals and local District natural resource priorities.

DISTRICT PROGRAM DELIVERY: Provides administrative, financial, and personnel guidance to District Supervisors and employees in their efforts to address local natural resources concerns.

WATER QUALITY: Through application of Idaho's Ag Pollution Abatement Plan the program reduces agricultural and other nonpoint source pollutants to water and water resources to acceptable levels mandated by Idaho Code and the Federal Clean Water Act.

SOIL SURVEY: Provides complete soils information essential for all land management activities through efforts of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RANGELAND DEVELOPMENT: Administers conservation based loans and grants to applicants which might not otherwise implement change or new ideas benefiting natural resources and the local economy.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. Technical Assistance and oversight provided in cooperation with and through the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service.
 - A. Conservation Systems planned on cropland (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
665,365	171,901	104,600	100,000
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000

B. Conservation Systems implemented on cropland (acres).

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
89,554	67,302	69,735	80,000		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000		

C. Conservation Systems planned on other land uses (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
409,068	41,056	69,900	100,000
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
150,000	100,000	100,000	100,000

D. Conservation Systems implemented on other land uses (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
7,422	8,702	10,000	35,000
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000

E. Cropland with Conservation or Reduced Tillage planned (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
165,101	85,568	34,600	35,000
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000

F. Conservation Systems with buffers planned or implemented (acres).

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
17,500	17,049	14,500	13,000	
	Projected	Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	

G. Grazing/Pasture Management Systems Planned (acres).

	Actua	l Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
320,730	72,474	156,000	40,000
	Projecte	ed Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000

H. Grazing/Pasture Management Systems completed (acres).

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
12,685	28,566	103,300	75,000			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000			

I. Riparian Areas planned for protection, restoration, enhancement, or creation (acres).

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
82,942	7,462	5,200	5,000		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000		

J. Riparian Acres Implemented with protection, restoration, enhancement, or creation (acres).

	Actual	Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000			
20	640	769	1,200			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000			

K. Nutrient Management Systems planned (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
183,195	29,312	11,400	15,000
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000

L. Nutrient Management Systems implemented (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
2,320	13,139	5,900	9,500
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000

M. Erosion Reduction Achieved (Tons/Year).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
5,742,100	558,183	561,300	60,000
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000

N. Erosion Reduction Measures implemented (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
592,547	79,303	52,700	60,000
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100,000	100,000	80,000	80,000

O. Watershed Systems Planned (acres).

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
92,867	601,894	3,086	25,000	
	Projecte	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	

P. Watershed Systems Implemented (acres).

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
0	38	2,170	40,000	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	

Q. Waste Management Systems Planned (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
183,506	29,381	12,300	27,000
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000

R. Waste Management Systems Implemented (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
2,320	13,150	5,850	20,000
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000

S. Wetlands - Determinations, development, enhancement, and protection.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
167,891	171,180	159,381	100,000
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
100,000	na	na	na

T. Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) - Private Ag land removed from tillage induced erosion through financial incentive for a contractual time period. (Acres)

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
683,000	700,000	690,000	775,000	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
845,000	925,000	950,000	900,000	

U. Technical Assistant to Districts - SCC Water Quality Resource Conservationists (WQRC's) placed in a single or multiple districts to provide technical assistance.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
13	10	14	14	
'	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
14	18	20	22	

- 2. Administrative Support and Leadership Providing staff and district support and direction.
 - A. Commission Meetings Conduct bi-monthly meetings, and special meetings (teleconferences) to discuss issues, needs, and concerns, provide staff direction, meet mandates and program needs of Commission and Districts.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
8	10	10	12
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
14	14	14	14

B. Publications informing districts and public of natural resource concerns, needs, and program efforts (includes formal memos to Districts).

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
17	4	2	4			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
30	30	30	30			

- 3. Financial Administration Providing financial planning review, implementation, and guidance to Commission and districts.
 - A. Administer state funding to districts Allocate appropriated funds as mandated.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
51	51	51	51			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
51	51	51	51			

B. Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) measures adopted.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
110	110	100	90
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
90	90	90	90

C. RC&D measures completed.

	Actual Results					
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
80	80	70	70			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
65	65	65	65			

D. Total value of RC&D resource measures assistance.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
\$610,000	\$710,000	\$630,000	\$630,000
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
\$520,000	\$520,000	\$500,000	\$450,000

E. Administer and conduct Environmental Quality Program education assistance projects through Conservation Districts.

Actual Results						
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
0	41	29	20			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
25	25	25	55			

- 4. Personnel Management Providing support and leadership to Commission members and staff.
 - A. Employee training opportunities provided to staff by other state agencies.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
3	3	5	4			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
4	4	4	4			

B. State employee training provided to other state agencies by Commission staff.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
3	15	20	15			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
15	15	15	15			

C. Computer/Communications equipment purchased.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
3	11	6	6		
Projected Results					
2001 2002 2003 2004					
6	6	6	6		

- 5. Soil Conservation District Capacity Provide assistance to districts in becoming proficient in recognizing their roles, accomplishing their responsibilities, and exercising their powers.
 - A. Provide direct assistance to every district.

	Actual Results					
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
51	51	51	51			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
51	51	51	51			

B. Conduct Supervisor responsibility training (supervisors).

	Actual Results					
1997	199	1999	2000			
3	4	45	100			
	·	Projected Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
40	50	50	50			

C. Assist in implementation of combined Five Year/Annual work plan.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
51	51	51	51	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
51	51	51	51	

D. Provide assistance in conduction of district Supervisor Elections.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
0	51	0	51		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
0	51	0	51		

E. Support development and implementation of district information and education programs.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
18	0	0	9			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
15	15	15	15			

F. Implement Idaho "One Plan" pilot project. (Districts)

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
0	1	0	1			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
1	1					

G. Districts with Idaho "One Plan" access.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
na	0	27	24
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
51	51	51	51

H. Conduct district policy development workshop.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
1	0	0	2
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
6	6	6	6

- 6. Financial Affairs and Processes Understanding and implementing processes of financial integrity, accounting, and protection of assets.
 - A. Conduct district internal financial reviews.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
10	1	10	26	
	Projecte	ed Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
25	10	10	10	

B. Administer District Liability Insurance and fidelity bonding.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
51	51	51	51
	Projected	l Results	<u> </u>
2001	2002	2003	2004
51	51	51	51

- 7. District personnel guidance and assistance provided.
 - A. Conduct district employee supervision workshops for district supervisors.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
3	4	6	6			
	Project	ed Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
6	6	6	6			

B. Conduct district employee training in roles and responsibilities.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
3	4	8	8
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
6	6	6	6

C. Provide assistance in development of district staffing plans and implementation.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
5	0	3	3	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
4	6	6	6	

- 8. Improve the effectiveness of Commission staff addressing water quality issues through training, coordination, staffing plans, financial development, and strategic planning.
 - A. All personnel conferences.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
1	1	1	0			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
0	1	1	1			

B. Individual water quality training plans developed.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
10	5	15	15		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
15	10	8	8		

C. Complete annual workload analysis, staff plans and equipment supply inventories.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
1	1	1	16
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
16	16	16	16

D. Negotiate and secure financing for IASCD technical assistance. Positions (yearly)

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
2	6	6	6			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
8	8	8	8			

E. Conduct and evaluate SAWQP/WQPA project reviews.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
0	0	38	30
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
30	30	30	30

- 9. Strengthen Commission and District capacity to achieve state and local water quality goals and other major natural resource goals through identification and prioritization of concerns, securing needed resources, providing oversight and accountability, and identifying appropriate state and federal programs.
 - A. Administer Lemhi model and Clearwater Focus Watersheds projects.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
5	5	2	2
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
2	2	2	2

B. P.L. 566 planning projects in progress.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
5	5	2	3
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
4	3	3	3

C. P.L. 566 implementation projects ongoing.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
12	13	11	7
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
9	11	11	11

D. TMDL Planning for agriculture and grazing on 303(d) listed waterbodies.

	Actual	Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000			
5	7	7	25			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
23	15	12	7			

E. TMDL Implementation for agriculture and grazing on 303(d) listed waterbodies.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
na	11	38	42		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
48	65	25	47		

F. Acres of rangeland to be treated as part of a TMDL.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
na	74,590	74,590	77,760			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
na	na	na	na			

G. GLCI agreements with districts with SCC oversight.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
2	2	2	3
	Projecte	d Results	-
2001	2002	2003	2004
3	3	3	3

H. Provide leadership in riparian demonstration projects.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
6	7	7	3
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
3	3	3	3

- 10. Enhance interagency relationships and coordination efforts to integrate multiple programs by discussion and cooperative training.
 - A. Inter-agency administration/technical information meetings.

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
24	23	30	30	
	Projecte	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
30	25	25	25	

B. Interagency water quality workshops and field tours.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
5	7	7	20			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
25	30	20	10			

C. Cooperative integration of water quality programs.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
11	12	12	16			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
15	15	17	20			

- 11. Enhance water quality programs I & E support to districts through dissemination of policy, guidance and interagency program integration.
 - A. Commission I &E workplan developed.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
1	1	1	0		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
1	1	1	1		

B. District water quality I & E workplans, training and coordination cooperatively implemented with Commission.

		Actua	l Results	
1	997	1998	1999	2000
	15	22	12	12
		Projecte	ed Results	
2	2001	2002	2003	2004
	12	12	8	6

- 12. Strengthen water quality monitoring and evaluation efforts.
 - A. Assist districts with development, implementation, and review of water quality monitoring plans.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
8	10	8	17		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
20	20	20	10		

B. Water quality monitoring projects evaluated.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
8	20	20	6
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
20	20	20	

- 13. Survey state and private acres.
 - A. Sample, document, and map soils on private and state owned lands.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
65,380	54,000	91,320	70,000		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
na	na	na	na		

B. Sample document, and map soils on federally managed lands.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
2,337	4,000	4,000	4,000			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000			

- 14. Provide complete modern soil surveys for all counties in Idaho.
 - A. Acres surveyed by SCC and NRCS staff.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
275,645	84,980	330,000	300,000		
	Projected	Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
300,000	270,000	250,000	250,000		

B. Surveys completed in Idaho.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
1	1	2	2			
	Projecte	d Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004			
2	2	2	2			

C. Surveys published for use by Idaho citizens.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
7	5	5	5		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
5	5	3	3		

- 15. Administer program providing long term, low interest loans for conservation improvements.
 - A. Loan applications considered by Commission members.

Actual Results						
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
21	13	35	50			
Projected Results						
2001	2002	2003	2004			
55	55	55	55			

B. Loan applications approved by Commission members.

	Actual	Results			
1997	1998	1999	2000		
18	12	33	44		
Projected Results					
2001	2002	2003	2004		
50	50	50	50		

C. Approved loans serviced.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
122	116	155	170		
	Projected Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004		
200	225	235	240		

- 16. Provide grant funding for innovative projects improving cooperative conservation opportunities and abilities.
 - A. Grant applications considered by Commission members.

Actual Results						
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
10	6	24	52			
	Projected Results					
2001	2002	2003	2004			
55	60	65	65			

B. Grant applications approved by Commission members.

Actual Results					
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000				
7	12	20	42		
Projected Results					
2001	2002	2003	2004		
45	50	50	50		

C. Grants/Conservation plans serviced.

	Actual Results					
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
14		12	25	32		
	·	Projected	Results			
2001	2	002	2003	2004		
35		35	35	35		

Agriculture, Department of Soil Conservation Commission

Program Results and Effect:

District and Commission efforts will ensure private landowners are able to obtain technical assistance in the voluntary development and application of conservation plans. Conservation plan implementation reduces the need for mandatory development and application of rules.

Communications and leadership to state and federal agencies diminish the possibility of redundant conservation efforts and more efficient application of programs, finances and technical assistance. Communications and leadership to districts provide local voluntary participation opportunities to local landowners and managers.

Communication efforts result in a more informed staff able to access and utilize other state and federal agency staff and programs. The effect will be more efficient use of personnel resources and program resources by all parties. Needed information will be more easily accessed.

District Supervisors fully aware of their roles and responsibilities are better able to locally direct and capitalize on conservation opportunities in a more efficient manner. The effect will be more efficient use of available funds and less need for state intervention in local natural resource concerns.

All funds which districts secure are public funds and must be accounted for to the citizens of Idaho. Implementation of district programs and use of funds requires liability and bonding protection. Commission administration of liability and bonding insurance assures each district some protection.

As districts implement programs at the local level there is the opportunity to hire and supervise staff. Supervisors need to implement effective personnel supervision. The effect is efficient use of available financial and personnel resources.

Soil Conservation Districts and Supervisors provide leadership in implementing local natural resource conservation measures especially water quality efforts. Many state and federal programs are blended together through efforts of Supervisors, assisted by Commission staff, to bring about cost effective, technically sound, socially acceptable Best Management Practices.

Interagency understanding and cooperation will result in available resources being more effectively utilized.

Voluntary conservation at the local level is the most cost effective, efficient manner of addressing natural resource concerns. Information to and education of the general public is essential to continuing conservation efforts. Monitoring and evaluation of individual practices and programs is essential to agencies ability to develop and implement activities to accomplish the needed resource condition.

Soils information is the primary basis for sound conservation planning. The ongoing effort to complete a modern soil survey of all private and state lands will provide all land managers with a better sense of soil usability and management options.

SCC programs provide innovative funding and more efficient resource and conservation management tools to landowners and users. Increased funding options and ideas exchanged between land users and managers is beneficial to the mutual resources and local economy.

For more information contact Jerry Nicolescu at 332-8650.